

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,333	02/20/2004	Richard A. Clark	20674.0005	6893	
7590 08/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Daniel J. Warren Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP			DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V		
999 Peachtree S		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Atlanta, GA 3	30308	2835			
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>!</i> /		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Summary		10/783,33	3	CLARK ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Datskovskiy	2835			
The MAIL Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communica	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	!SS		
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE MAIL OF THE MAIL OF THE MAIL OF THE OF THE MAIL OF THE OF	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. days, a reply within the statuory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) o Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely, on the mailing date of this comm	nunication.		
Status							
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed	on <i>20 February 200</i>	04.				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.						
<u>.</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2:</u> 4a) Of the a 5)□ Claim(s) <u>5:</u> 6)□ Claim(s) <u>2:</u> 7)□ Claim(s) <u>-</u>	2-24, 4657 is/are pending above claim(s) is/are 0-57 is/are allowed. 2-24 and 46-49 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction	withdrawn from coned		·			
Application Papers							
10) The drawin Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Ig(s) filed on 20 February 20 ay not request that any objection that drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to be	<u>l04</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc on to the drawing(s) b ne correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim fo Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority do ified copies of the priority do ies of the certified copies of ication from the International	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicants have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of Reference Datice of Draftsper 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PT0	D-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/783,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims have been renumbered as follows: [47] to $\underline{51}$; [48] to $\underline{52}$; [49] to $\underline{53}$; [50] (second one) to $\underline{54}$; [51] to $\underline{55}$; [52] to $\underline{56}$ and [53] to $\underline{57}$.

2. The following rejection is based on the assumption that, while the parent application claimed particular patentable structural limitations, part of the current application's claims are broad and mostly comprise a list of devices specific for a mobile computer workstation well known in the art. For example: A structure claimed by a first independent claim 22 could be interpreted as a wheeled card and a portable computer (laptop), usually comprising a tiltable display, an input device – a keyboard, a rechargeable power unit – a battery, and now days certainly wireless features. As for further claimed monitoring or medical devices supported by a wheeled chassis, the specification does not include any specific description of such devices (neither their structures nor their medical use). Therefore claiming them as parts of a workstation comes to claiming an intending use of said workstation, which is not patentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahany et al.

Mahany et al teach a mobile computer workstation, Figs.6-7, for use with a computer network, comprising: a computing device 105; a radio transceiver connected to the computer device 105; an input device 102; a tiltable display 101; a power unit 107 for supplying power to the computing device 105; all being mounted on a wheeled chassis 96.

5. Claims 22-24 and 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coulthard.

Coulthard teaches a mobile computer workstation, Figs.1-20, for use with a computer network, comprising: a computing device (a portable computer having a tiltable display); a radio transceiver connected to the computer device; an input device (a keyboard of said portable computer); a power unit 4 for supplying power to the computing device; all being mounted on a wheeled chassis 7. Coulthard teaches furthermore said power unit

Application/Control Number: 10/783,333 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

being rechargeable battery connectable to an AC power source and comprising a power cord storage retractable assembly (Fig.4) for retracting the power cord to connect to the AC power source. Regarding to the claims 46 and 47: It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed (in this case as a medical device or a monitoring device) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 50-57 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: A mobile workstation comprising a tiltable computer docking station.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Case (US Patent 5,630,566); Sweere et al (US Patent 5,918,841) and Matsumoto (US Patent 6,125,028).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,333

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

level Battheir

Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2835